

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/937,338 12/20/2001 Richard Allen Brown P07370US00/LRP 03/19/2004 **EXAMINER** STITES & HARBISON PLLC LITHGOW, THOMAS M 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 900 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 1724

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/937,338	BROWN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Thomas M. Lithgow	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 30 day MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	
2a) <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-41 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □			
		THOMAS M. PATENT EX	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (FTO-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-20, drawn to an apparatus and method for removing fluid from a two phase mixture of hydrates and liquid.

Group II, claim(s) 22-28, drawn to a device for separating gas from a hydrate.

Group III, claim(s) 30-33, drawn to a second device for separating gas from a hydrate.

Group IV, claim(s) 35-41, drawn to a hydrate cooling apparatus.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1724

In regard to group IV: Group IV does not have a special technical feature common to it and the remaining three groups I, II, and III.

Groups I, II and III share a common special technical feature of having structure which separates "fluid" (gas) from a mixture of hydrate solids, liquid and gas. This special technical feature is taught by either of Heinemann (US 6180843) – see element 40 in figure 2-OR Gugmundsson (US 5536893) – see degassing cyclone in Fig. 3. Therefore, the special technical feature that links Groups I-III does not define a contribution over the prior art so unity of invention is lacking.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. IF applicant elects Group I, a further species election is required as follows: This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Fig. 4 and 5 as a first species (claims 5-8) and

Fig 6 as a second species (claims 9-11)

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-4 and 12-20.

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species

Art Unit: 1724

lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: See the above discussion about the common special technical feature between groups I-III.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a)

IF applicant elects any one of Groups II-IV there is no further species election required.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a nonelected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an

Art Unit: 1724

inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Lithgow whose telephone number is 571-272-1162. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. -Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blain Copenheaver can be reached on 571-272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas M. Lithgow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

TML